

**STUDENT EVALUATION OF TEACHING
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA**

Please take time to thoroughly complete this evaluation. These evaluations are used by instructors to strengthen their teaching skills and by the department to assess the effectiveness of courses and instructors. The instructor will not read the evaluations until after final course grades are given.

- Please respond to question 1 through 13 on the scanning form provided. Your answers should correspond to your level of agreement or disagreement with the statement.
- The possible responses are:

A - Strongly Agree **B - Agree** **C - Mixed Feelings** **D - Disagree** **E - Strongly Disagree**
(5) (4) (3) (2) (1)

The Instructor:

1. Please indicate the overall teaching effectiveness of the instructor.
(5 = excellent; 4 = very good; 3 = satisfactory; 2 = fair; 1 = poor).
2. The instructor was consistently prepared with well-organized lectures.
3. The instructor presented material clearly at an appropriate rate.
4. The instructor challenged students to do their best work.
5. The instructor cared that students learned the material.
6. The instructor encouraged questions from the class and answered questions clearly.
7. The instructor was accessible outside of class time.

The Course:

8. Please indicate the overall educational value of the course.
(5 = excellent; 4 = very good; 3 = satisfactory; 2 = fair; 1 = poor).
9. Homework assignments provided opportunity to increase understanding of material (as well improve computation skills).
10. Exams fairly represented material covered.
11. The course was structured in a way to build confidence and mastery of material.
12. Homework assignments were of an appropriate frequency and length.
13. Course challenged students to think critically.

Please see questions on reverse.

Please answer the following directly on this sheet of paper.

► Note any teaching characteristics that you consider to be particular strengths or weaknesses of the instructor.

-Knowledgeable, Patient, Organized

-Strengths: provides us summaries for each lecture, give us review sections, provide study guides, practice exams, tons of office hours, always willing to help

-Very clear, but sometimes she went through material very quickly

-Very dedicated and clear lectures. No weakness

-Spoke clearly and effectively, explanations clear and concise

-The teacher was very enthusiastic, intelligent, and cared about her student's standing. Very strong lecturer

-She was very relaxed in her teaching and connected well with the class

-I liked all the images to help us learn. Since you enjoyed the topic it made it more interesting for me.

-The teaching was fine, personally I don't do so well with powerpoints, but she posted them so that was great

-simple, but structured lecture presentation

-Instructor was very knowledgeable about the topic and enthusiastic. Her caring seemed to be contagious and easier to learn

-Good sense of humor

-Teacher should be more confident

-The teaching style was fairly standard, which is not bad. I enjoyed the use of diagrams and pictures; they helped in understanding the material. The lecture slides were also appealing to the eye and kept me interested with pictures. Thank you, professor and Nico, for educating me!

-Strengths: additional notes added on to smartshare as seen appropriate (for better student understanding). Posting up slides so student do not need to rush to take notes but concentrate on lecture instead. No notable weakness.

-Good professor

-she was great

-She is very intelligent and she knows how teaching in a way that we can catch the subject. She is also very prestatative

-She is very clear to understand

-Very good explanations, included diagrams to make understandment easy

-She was always willing to meet outside of class whenever I had any questions and took the time to make sure I understood the material

-Overall good lecturing

-Instructor had office hours to help students understand the material, and was always available to help students

-When a student answers the question, I think she should repeat what the student said because sometimes other students may miss or didn't hear clearly what the student said

-Weaknesses: speed of lectures- try to focus more time on confusing subjects. Strengths: clear understanding of material, welcomed questions from students

-Very enthusiastic about the subject and teaching it. Encourages class participation

-Instructor is friendly & easy to understand. Made me care about climate change issues & explained everything well

► Note any aspects that were particularly useful and suggest ways to improve the course.

-none

-A lot of information packed into one lecture – maybe not make the lectures so dense

-The slides and homework were very well structured

-Lecture slides could be confusing w/ lack of context

-Learning what is happening to our climate

-Everything was okay, maybe have an actual discussion day

-I really liked the clarity of the powerpoints and lectures and the ability to access the powerpoints on smartsite

-Do not repeat too much the subjects and focus more in climate changes nowadays → current events (+/-)

-Yay! One midterm only! Sometimes lectures moved really fast. But putting powerpoint on smartsite helped.

-I like the homeworks because it helps us to study before the tests

-lots of slides

-I really liked the materials and homeworks

-A lot of information well explained in lecture

-My only suggestion would be to slow down in lecture a little bit because I found it hard to keep up sometimes

-The instructor should repeat the student's question or answer because sometimes it is too far to hear the answer/question

-Make topics a bit more interesting

-ways to improve: perhaps apply more visual styles of teaching, diagrams, in class presentations, small scale demonstrations (etc.)

-Lecture slides easily accessible online, could assign more homework

-Would take course from instructor again. Only thing is that lectures went a little quick; I know there is a lot of material to cover, so I don't know how to fix it :/